UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/758,946	. 01/16/2004	Richard A. Marando	1-23664	6175	
27210 MACMILLAN	7590 08/02/2007 I, SOBANSKI & TODD, LI	· C ·	EXAMINER		
ONE MARITIME PLAZA - FIFTH FLOOR			AFZALI, S	AFZALI, SARANG	
720 WATER S TOLEDO, OH			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3726		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/758,946	MARANDO ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sarang Afzali	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example of the purpose of determining the period of example of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comparison of the property	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com- filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the proposed amendment of the pr	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,	ected claims.	
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		.	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will be will be will will be win the will be wil	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a Nord and sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12.
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: _____.

DAVID P. BRYANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

7/27/07

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that Mariman et al. reference used in the 102(e) rejection of claim 21 in the office action mailed on 4/18/2007 is non-analogus art to the claimed invention and therefore that disclosure should not be considered at all when evaluating the patentability of the claimed invention. The Applicant is once again reminded that Mariman et al. is used in a 102 (e)-type rejection and as such, the "non-analogous" argument is not valid and it would only be appropriate for a reference used in a 103 (a)-type rejection. Furthermore, the Applicant argues that the flexible air lines 32 and 34 of Mariman et al. cannot reasonably be interpreted as being the "structural members" for a vehicular frame assembly, as recited in the claims. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above arguments. Note that in the broadest reasonable interpretation, the the air lines 32, 34 and the vertical standard 40 shown in Figs. 2-4 of Mariman et al. have basic structures regardless of how flexible some portions may be and once these parts are assembled to each other, a complete vehicular frame assembly is formed (Fig. 1). Note that the structural members used to form the vehicular frame assembly claimed by the Applicant does not require it to carry certain weight or comprised of certain rigidity or that is only used in the roof section of the vehicle.